



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,242	04/08/2004	Daniel M. Wong	OR03-17301	1781
51967	7590	05/07/2009	EXAMINER	
PVF -- ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION			REYES, MARIELA D	
c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP				
2820 FIFTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVIS, CA 95618-7759			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,242	WONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mariela D. Reyes	2167	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mariela D. Reyes.

(3) Shun Yao.

(2) David Grundler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ellison et al (US Patent 6,487,547).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant further explained the invention. Examiner advised applicant that further defining the configuration information and the caching process would most likely overcome the Ellison prior art. Upon receipt of formal response a new search would have to be conducted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mariela D Reyes/
Examiner, Art Unit 2167

/John R. Cottingham/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167